

THE THIRD STROMLO SYMPOSIUM – THE GALACTIC HALO: BRIGHT STARS & DARK MATTER

Tim de Zeeuw
Leiden Observatory

John Norris
*Research School of Astronomy & Astrophysics, The Australian National
University*

The Third Stromlo Symposium was held at the Australian Academy of Science in Canberra during 17–21 August 1998. The meeting was dedicated to Alex Rodgers, who unfortunately passed away before his 65th birthday could be celebrated in this way. There were 110 participants from 17 countries on five continents. Their interests broadly divided into three areas: the *stellar* halo, the *gaseous* halo, and the *dark* halo of our Galaxy. The program mixed the various topics in such a way as to maximize interaction. Together with the high-quality posters, this ensured there was much to learn for everyone. The program also included a public lecture on microlensing studies of dark matter by Charles Alcock, an outing to the Tidbinbilla Nature Reserve, a wine tasting evening in the Red Belly Black Cafe on Mount Stromlo, hosted by the incomparable Brian Schmidt, and a dinner in the Old Parliament House which featured a number of unplanned speeches, and an impromptu musical performance by Tim Beers (guitar) and Steve Majewski (didgeridoo).

1. Luminous Halo

The stellar halo of the Galaxy contains only a small fraction of its total luminous mass, but the abundances and kinematics of halo stars, globular clusters, and the dwarf spheroidal satellites contain imprints of the formation of the entire Milky Way (Eggen, Lynden-Bell & Sandage 1962; Searle & Zinn 1978; Baugh, Cole & Frenk 1996; Wyse, White).

Abundances and ages. Long-term systematic programs on abundances and kinematics of halo stars (Beers, Carney) show that very few really metal-poor stars ($[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -2.0$) occur in the halo. Below $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -2.0$ the numbers decrease by a factor of 10 for every dex in $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$. Despite much effort, there is no evidence for stars significantly more metal poor than $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -4.0$ (Beers, Norris). Stars with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \lesssim -3.0$ display a large range in abundances at fixed $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$. While those of C and N may result from internal pollution (Fujimoto), that of the heavy-neutron-capture elements probably reflects the shot noise of individual enrichment events by early Type II supernovae, before the Type Ia's contribute. This suggests that the most metal-poor stars formed in an interval $\lesssim 1$ Gyr.

New color-magnitude diagrams, an improved metallicity calibration (Carretta & Gratton 1997), and further work on theoretical stellar models show that (i) nearly all globular clusters have a remarkably small age-spread, of about 1 Gyr or less, (ii) the ages of the halo clusters do not correlate with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$, and (iii) there is a hint that the clusters at the largest galactocentric radii might be

slightly younger (Piotto, Vandenberg, Sarajedini). The debate on the absolute ages of the globular clusters continues (Mould 1998), but they agree with those of the oldest and most metal-poor field halo stars, based on HIPPARCOS calibrations and the Th/Eu radioactive clock (Norris). While the dwarf spheroidal companions (Da Costa) and the Magellanic Clouds (Olszewski) as well as M31 (Freeman) and M33 (Sarajedini) all have experienced different formation histories, it appears that the oldest populations invariably have the same age, and that the first generation of stars was formed synchronously throughout the entire Local Group around 12–14 Gyr ago.

Kinematics and substructure. HIPPARCOS proper motions and available radial velocities allow analysis of the space motions of a few hundred local halo stars. There are Galactic disk stars with $-1.6 < [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -1.0$, but there is no sign of the disk for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -1.6$, and little evidence for clumping in velocities (Chiba). It is not easy to interpret the results for the HIPPARCOS sample, let alone extrapolate them to larger distances (Moody & Kalnajs). Hints for velocity clumping in high- $|z|$ samples of field halo giants can be found in many studies in the past decade (Majewski). Some satellite dwarf galaxies may be on the same orbit, i.e., are parts of a ‘ghostly stream’ (Lynden-Bell). The orbits of the globular clusters display similar correlations (Majewski).

Tidal stripping in a spherical potential results in a coherent structure in $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ space. This applies at large galactocentric radii, and gives a good description of the tidal tails of globular clusters and the Magellanic Stream (Johnston). Tidal disruption in the flattened inner halo leaves a signature in velocity space, but not in configuration space. Picking out disrupted streams is possible with good kinematic data which includes astrometry. The properties of the progenitor can then be inferred, and in this way one can hope to reconstruct the merging history of our own Galaxy (Helmi & White, Harding et al.).

There was much interest in the details of the encounter of the Large and Small Magellanic Clouds (LMC/SMC) with the Milky Way (MW). There were talks on observations of the gas (Putman) and stars (Majewski) of the Magellanic Stream, on theoretical models of the encounter (Weinberg), and on the possible involvement of the Sgr dwarf (Zhao). The total mass of the LMC may be as large as $2 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$, in which case the LMC can induce the observed warp as well as lopsidedness in the Milky Way. In turn, the MW tidal field puffs up the LMC, generating a stellar halo which might contribute significantly to the microlensing event rate (Weinberg, Olszewski).

Gas. The Leiden–Dwingeloo Survey (Hartmann & Burton 1997), its southern extension with the radio telescope in Villa Elisa, Argentina, and the Parkes HIPASS survey have resulted in major progress in the understanding of the high-velocity (halo) gas. A coherent picture is finally emerging in which (i) some HVC’s are connected to the HI in the Galactic disk, (ii) much of it is connected to the Magellanic Stream, with the beautiful HIPASS data of Putman et al. (1998) now also showing the leading arm predicted by numerical simulations of the encounter of the Magellanic Clouds with the Milky Way, and (iii) steady accretion of material either from the immediate surroundings of the Galaxy (Oort 1970), or from within the Local Group (Blitz). Absorption line studies and metallicity measurements are—at long last—starting to constrain the distances of individual clouds (Wakker, van Woerden).

2. Dark Halo

All measurements to date of the mass of the Galaxy are consistent with a model in which the mass distribution is essentially an isothermal sphere with a constant circular velocity $v_c \sim 180$ km/s (Zaritsky). Out to a distance of ~ 300 kpc this corresponds to a mass of about $2 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$, and an average mass-to-light ratio of more than 100 in solar units. The uncertainties in the halo mass profile remain significant, even inside the orbit of the LMC.

Microlensing. The 20 microlensing events seen towards the LMC indicate that point-like objects in the mass range $10^{-7} \lesssim M/M_\odot \lesssim 10^{-2}$ can at most form a minor constituent of the Galactic halo (Alcock, Perdureau, Stubbs). This eliminates most objects of substellar mass, including planets as small as the Earth. If the LMC events are caused by massive compact halo objects (MACHOs), they must have masses in a rather narrow range around $0.5 M_\odot$. The unknown binary fraction in the lens population remains a major uncertainty (Bennett). It is possible that the MACHOs are not in the dark halo at all. Flaring of the disk and/or the warp of the Milky Way, or the presence of another intervening object have been considered, but it now seems unlikely that these can provide the entire set of observed events. However, self-lensing by the LMC, in particular by its own stellar halo, may well be quite significant (Weinberg, Olszewski), leaving open the possibility that all the lenses are in the LMC itself. The next generation microlensing experiments should settle this issue (Stubbs).

Audit of the Universe. The density of luminous matter Ω_{lum} is $\sim 1/10$ the baryon density Ω_{baryon} , which is $\sim 1/10$ the total matter density of the Universe Ω_M , which in turn is $\sim 1/3$ the critical density (Turner). Recent results on distant supernovae (Schmidt et al. 1998; Perlmutter et al. 1999) suggest that the ‘dark energy’ Ω_Λ brings the total $\Omega_0 = \Omega_M + \Omega_\Lambda$ to the critical value. The value of Ω_{baryon} is of the same order as Ω_{galaxies} , suggesting that galactic halos consist of dark baryons, and that non-baryonic dark matter is needed at the scales of clusters and larger in order to provide Ω_M . If only one kind of dark matter exists on all scales, then the location of most of the baryons needs to be found.

Composition. Many talks addressed the nature of dark matter. The measured number density of low-mass objects shows that brown dwarfs cannot provide all the dark mass in the Galactic halo (Flynn, Tinney). The microlensing events towards the LMC suggest white dwarfs as major constituent of the dark halo (Chabrier). The required numbers imply a special initial mass function early-on, and a resulting metal-enrichment which is hard to hide (Gibson & Mould 1997). Neutron stars face similar problems (Silk).

Ultracold (4K) clumps of H_2 , with masses of $10^{-3} M_\odot$, diameters of 30 AU, and densities of 10^{10} cm^{-3} have also been proposed as dark matter candidates. Current microlensing experiments are not sensitive to such clumps, but they have not been seen directly in the local interstellar medium. They might reside in a large outer disk (Pfenniger), or in a spheroidal halo (Walker). The ionized and evaporating outer envelopes of the clumps could cause extreme scattering events at radio wavelengths (Fiedler et al. 1987), but it is unclear whether the expected cosmic-ray induced gamma rays are seen (Chary; Dixon et al. 1998).

Ionized gas at 10^6 K may be the best bet for baryonic dark matter attached to the Galaxy (Fukugita, Hogan & Peebles 1998). The current observational

constraints on the total amount of this material (Maloney) are no stronger than they were 40 years ago (Kahn & Woltjer 1959), but ROSAT (Kalberla) and ongoing H α surveys (Bland–Hawthorn) will allow significant improvement soon.

Candidates for non-baryonic dark matter include massive neutrinos, axions, neutralinos, and primordial black holes (Sadoulet, Silk, Turner). Neutrinos are not favored by theories of structure formation, and the required mass of ~ 25 eV may be ruled out. There is no experimental evidence for axions or neutralinos, but the laboratory sensitivity will improve considerably in the coming year.

3. Towards a stereoscopic census

A quantitative test of formation scenarios for the Galaxy is a crucial complement to high-redshift studies of galaxy formation. It will require accurate distances and kinematic data for large samples of halo objects. We can expect ongoing objective prism surveys (Christlieb) to identify ~ 20000 candidate halo objects. Multi-object spectroscopy by 2DF or SLOAN will provide radial velocities and abundances (Pier). The Tycho Reference Catalog will contain proper motions of 2–3 mas/yr for 3 million stars to $V \sim 12$ (Hoeg et al. 1998), allowing space motions to be determined for ~ 30000 halo stars out to a few kpc.

The next major step will have to await NASA’s Space Interferometry Mission, and GAIA, a mission under study by ESA (Gilmore et al. 1998). SIM and GAIA will obtain proper motions and parallaxes to $\lesssim 10$ micro-arcsecond accuracy. While SIM will be a pointed observatory, GAIA will measure *all* one billion stars to $V \sim 20$, and obtain radial velocities and photometry for most objects brighter than $V \sim 17$. GAIA will provide the full six-dimensional phase space information (positions and velocities) to ~ 20 kpc from the Sun, and velocity information on individual stars to much larger distances. High-resolution ground-based spectroscopic follow-up will provide abundances throughout the halo, so that the entire formation history of the Galaxy can be reconstructed.

References

- Baugh C.M., Cole S., Frenk C.S., 1996, MNRAS, 283, 1361
- Carretta E., Gratton R.G., 1997, A&AS, 121, 95
- Dixon D., et al. 1998, New Astro, 3, 539
- Eggen O., Lynden–Bell D., Sandage A.R., 1962, ApJ, 136, 748
- Fiedler R.L., Dennison B., Johnston K.J., Hewish A., 1987, Nature 326, 675
- Fukugita M., Hogan C.J., Peebles P.J.E., 1998, ApJ, 503, 518
- Gibson B.K., Mould J.R., 1997, ApJ, 482, 98
- Gilmore G., et al., 1998, in Astronomical Interferometry, SPIE Proc. 3350, ed. R.D. Reasenberg, 541–550
- Hartmann D., Burton W.B., 1997, Atlas of Galactic Neutral Hydrogen (Cambridge Univ. Press)
- Hoeg E., et al., 1998, AA, 335, L65
- Kahn F.D., Woltjer L., 1959, ApJ, 130, 705
- Mould J.R., 1998, Nature, 395, Supp., A22
- Oort J.H., 1970, AA, 7, 381
- Perlmutter S., et al., 1999, ApJ, in press (astro-ph/9812133)
- Putman M.E., Gibson B.K., Staveley–Smith L., et al., 1998, Nature, 394, 752
- Schmidt B.P., et al., 1998, ApJ, 507, 46
- Searle L., Zinn R., 1978, ApJ, 225, 357